2015 Mid-Year Progress Report

USEPA PROJECT XL

Buncombe County Bioreactor Project

Buncombe County
Solid Waste Management Facility

Alexander, North Carolina

November 2015

Project XL
Environmental Excellence and Leadership

BUNCOMBE COUNTY NORTH CAROLINA
PEOPLE TO MATCH OUR MOUNTAINS

CDM Smith

Table of Contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

Appendices

2015 Mid-Year Bioreactor Progress Report

Executive Summary

Introduction

The Buncombe County Solid Waste Management Facility is located in the mountains of western North Carolina, approximately nine miles north of the city of Asheville. The 557-acre solid waste management facility opened in 1997 with a Subtitle D landfill disposal area that comprises approximately 100 acres. Under the United States Environmental Protection Agency's Project XL, Buncombe County is operating a combined leachate recirculation and gas recovery system at its Subtitle D landfill. The purpose of the project is to determine if liquid addition has any adverse effects on alternative liner systems. The County is also monitoring the effects of liquids addition on waste density and settlement to determine if it is increasing the life of the landfill. This project differs from other Project XL projects in that it is a full-scale project that is being operated over an extended period of time. This project was granted regulatory flexibility to apply water sources other than leachate to the waste, and to apply water sources to the waste in landfill cells with alternative liners. To date, only leachate has been used since there has been adequate leachate available onsite to meet the needs of the project. Although application at the working face is allowed it has not been employed in the bioreactor operation and there are no plans to use it going forward. Additional water sources may be required after the build-as-you-go system is in full operation due to the additional capacity for receiving leachate.

System Designs: Retrofit vs. Build-As-You-Go

The retrofit system, which refers to the shallow, wetting/gas collection trenches that were installed in Cells 1-5 after the cells were filled to capacity has been in operation since April 2007. A Build-As-You-Go wetting system, which means that the infrastructure is installed in phases as the waste is being placed, provides better wetting of the waste and earlier capture of landfill gas. The first stage of the Build-As-You-Go system was installed in Cell 6 in July 2012, and began operation in June 2014 after the trenches were completely covered by a 10-ft minimum layer of waste.

The first stage included installation of five trenches ranging in length from 700 to 950-ft. Six temperature sensors were installed in various locations between the trenches to monitor the extent of wetting and the impact of cold weather wetting on the biological processes. Readings from the sensors are recorded by a datalogger installed at the Cell 6 pump station control panel. If decomposition is determined to be unaffected by cold weather recirculation, then the operators will move to a year-round wetting program that will further reduce the amount of leachate hauled to the WWTP.

Stakeholder Meetings

Stakeholder meetings are held periodically to discuss project status and issues. The project stakeholders include: Buncombe County, NCDENR, USEPA, WNCRAQA, CDM Smith and the University of Florida. The last stakeholder meeting, held in September 2012, established new criteria for determining liner performance. Leachate levels in the sump of Cell 6 are being recorded in 15-minute intervals to see if head on the liner every increases due to leachate recirculation. Rapid increases in leachate levels in the sump would indicate head build-up on the liner system which could lead to higher rates of leakage. Preliminary results show no impact on head on the liner due to the recirculation of leachate. Further data collection is being performed to confirm the preliminary findings.

Operation of Cell 6 HITs

Based on the discussions held at the last stakeholders' meeting, a combination of dedicated recirculation and gas collection horizontal injection trenches (HITs) is desirable for determining the effectiveness of the wetting operation and maximizing early gas capture in Cell 6.

The strategy to date has been to recirculate in HITs 6B and 6C and collect landfill gas from HITs 6A, 6D, and 6E while evaluating landfill gas quality and flow to determine their effectiveness. Landfill gas monitoring data for HITs 6A, 6D, and 6E collected in 2014 and 2015 indicate a high percent methane and good flow. Therefore, landfill gas collection should continue from these HITs.

Preliminary data collected from April through June 2015 for the Cell 6 sump indicates no effect on the sump levels from the recirculation events. This data will continue to be collected and analyzed in future reports.

Liner System Performance

To date, the cells equipped with alternative liner systems are functioning at a comparable level to those with prescriptive Subtitle D liner systems. While liquids have been observed in the leak detection zones in nearly all of the landfill cells, testing of the liquids indicate it is groundwater. For Cells 7-10, it is recommended that the design of the leak detection zone (LDZ) be revised to eliminate the 3-foot separation between the LDZ and the bottom of the base liner system, as this will greatly reduce the potential for groundwater infiltration.

Benefits of the Bioreactor Program

Approximately 4.0 million gallons of leachate has been recirculated since the program began, resulting in 803 less truck trips to the wastewater treatment plant (WWTP). That has provided a savings of $306,758.03 in avoided hauling costs as shown in **Figure ES-1** below. With the expansion of the leachate recirculation system into Cell 6, the largest cell of the landfill, the amount of leachate that can be recirculated is significantly increased. The July-December 2014 period was the first period that leachate hauling was not required. In the January-June 2015 period, only 3.7% of the leachate generated had to be hauled.



Figure ES-1: Gallons Recirculated Compared with Truck Hauling Cost Savings

Settlement plates installed in Cells 1 through 5 show an average settlement of 1.31 ft. from January 2006 to April 2015. Comparison of topographic surveys of Cells 1-5 taken in 2010 and 2015, show 0-1 ft. settlement on the slopes and 1-5 ft. settlement over the waste plateau as shown in **Figure ES-2**. The prominent settlement areas correspond with the locations of the recirculation trenches but also benefit from stormwater that is captured on the waste plateau. This settlement in Cells 1-5 is equal to approximately 51,000 cy which is equivalent to five months of capacity valued at nearly $2 million.



Figure ES-2: Settlement in Cells 1 through 5 since November 2010

Landfill gas generation is enhanced by the bioreactor operation and is used to produce electrical power. The power generation facility is registered on the Climate Action Reserve to receive carbon credits for the voluntary capture and destruction of methane. Carbon credits and equivalent passenger vehicle gas emissions offset are shown below:

Year	Carbon Credits	Equivalent Passenger Vehicle Emissions Offset
2012	28,784	5,997 vehicles
2013	29,490	6,208 vehicles
2014	To be reported in 2015 year-end report	
Total	**58,274**	**12,205 vehicles**

This text report provides an update of the Buncombe County Bioreactor Program for the first half of 2015. To view the report in its entirety please visit the project website at: http://buncombebioreactor.com/.

Section 1

Introduction

The Buncombe County (County) Solid Waste Management Facility is a host site for a research project being conducted under the USEPA Project XL Program. The purpose of this Mid-Year report is to present the data collected in the first six months of 2015. This report was prepared by Kristy Smith - Buncombe County Bioreactor Manager, Christopher Gabel – CDM Smith Inc. and Amy Hightower – CDM Smith Inc.

1.1 Site Description

The Buncombe County Solid Waste Management Facility is located in the mountains of western North Carolina, approximately nine miles north of the City of Asheville. The 557-acre solid waste management facility (refer to **Figure 1-1**) opened in 1997 and comprises a Subtitle D landfill, construction and demolition (C&D) landfill, wood waste mulching facility, convenience center for residential drop-off, a household hazardous waste (HHW) facility, and a white goods and tires holding facility.

The Subtitle D landfill is 95 acres and consists of 10 disposal cells that are being constructed sequentially over the estimated 30+ year life of the facility. Cells 1 and 2 were constructed with a prescriptive RCRA Subtitle D liner system consisting of a 24” soil barrier layer with a maximum permeability of $1x10^{-7}$cm/sec, a 60-mil high density polyethylene (HDPE) liner and a 24-inch rock drainage layer. Cells 3-6 were constructed with an alternative liner system that uses an 18-inch soil barrier layer with a maximum permeability of $1x10^{-5}$cm/sec, a geosynthetic clay liner (GCL), a 60-mil HDPE liner and a 24” rock drainage layer.

Cells 1-5 are filled to capacity and Cell 6 has been the active disposal cell since 2006. Based on current waste flows, Cell 7 is expected to begin operation in 2021.

Figure 1-1 Buncombe County Solid Waste Management Facility

1.2 Project Goals

In spite of increasing rates of recycling, landfills remain the primary means of managing solid waste in the US, receiving 54% of the waste generated in 2012 (EPA-530-F-14-001). Municipal solid waste (MSW) landfills in the United States are designed in accordance with the technical guidelines provided in Subtitle D of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), which requires that landfills be equipped with impermeable base liners and caps. While this requirement has been very successful in preventing groundwater contamination, it has also led to the dry entombment of waste at many landfill sites. Some concern has been raised regarding the long term containment of undecomposed waste and the potential for leachate releases after the post-monitoring period ends (typically 30-years) and the liner systems failure.

One proposed solution is to operate MSW landfills as bioreactors. A bioreactor landfill uses controlled methods of liquids addition to increase waste moisture content as a means for promoting decomposition of waste. The goal of a bioreactor operation is to achieve a stabilized condition while the landfill is still being monitored. Liquids addition has been applied at numerous landfill sites in the US with favorable results.

Federal regulations governing solid waste management restrict liquids addition to only those landfills equipped with prescriptive Subtitle D liner systems. The Buncombe County Bioreactor Project seeks to determine what impact, if any; liquids addition has on alternative liner systems by comparing the performance of the prescriptive Subtitle D liner system in Cells 1 and 2 to the alternative liner systems in Cells 3-10. The data obtained from this project may provide support for modifying federal regulations to allow liquids addition in MSW landfills equipped with alternative liner systems. A Final Project Agreement (FPA) was issued by the USEPA under the Project Excellence and Leadership Program (Project XL) approving Buncombe County's proposal to incorporate a liquids addition process as an integral part of their landfill operation and providing the design, execution, and monitoring framework developed for the project.

1.3 Public Awareness

Public awareness has been an important part of the County's solid waste program since the siting of the facility in the early 1990's. To increase public awareness of the bioreactor project, the County staff have given presentations to various groups, led tours for local area colleges and high schools, and performed a live interview at the bioreactor site for Buncombe County Television. The County also has a website that is available to the public to learn about the project. The website is updated semi-annually with new monitoring data and other information and is accessible at: http://www.buncombebioreactor.com/index.html.

Buncombe County convenes periodic meetings of stakeholders to obtain comments on the Project as well as to report on the progress during the duration of the XL Agreement. Stakeholders include any individuals, government organizations, neighborhood organizations, academic centers, and companies with an interest in the progress of the Buncombe County Solid Waste Management Facility Bioreactor Project. The first stakeholders meeting was held in August 2008 and the second stakeholders meeting was held on September 20th, 2012. The stakeholder meeting was attended by Western North Carolina Regional Air Quality Agency, EPA by teleconference, NCDENR, University of Florida, Buncombe County management, and CDM Smith engineers.

Section 2

Project Description

This project was granted regulator flexibility under Project XL to add liquids to cells with alternative liner systems and to apply liquids other than leachate to the waste mass. To date, only leachate has been used since there has been adequate leachate available onsite to meet the needs of the project. This may change when the build-as-you-go portion of the project is operational since the quantities of liquids addition will increase significantly. Leachate recirculation is not performed during the winter months due to concern of the adverse impacts of cold leachate on decomposition. The project team, in consultation with the project academic advisors, Dr. Morton Barlaz of North Carolina State University, Dr. Timothy Townsend of University of Florida, and Dr. Debra Reinhart of University of Central Florida, established a minimum temperature of 50 degrees F for the recirculation operation as measured at the leachate pond.

2.1 Retrofit Bioreactor System

2.1.1 Leachate Recirculation

Cells 1-5 had nearly reached capacity when the project began, prompting the need to install a retrofit system. The retrofit system is equipped to recirculate leachate using a combination of horizontal injection trenches (HIT) and surficial gravity trenches (SGT) as shown in **Figure 2-1**.



Figure 2-1 Retrofit Bioreactor System

Six horizontal injection trenches (HIT) were installed in the retrofit area. The first three HITs were installed in anticipation of the project being approved when the top of waste was at Elevation 2040. They extend approximately 400 ft. south into the waste mass and are spaced 100 ft. apart. Three more HITs were installed at Elevation 2080 using the same spacing and extend approximately 800 ft. east in the waste. Due to the longer length of these HITs, two pipes were used in each of the trenches to provide more uniform distribution of leachate. This is achieved by using a short pipe that wets the first 400 ft. of the trench and a long pipe that wets the latter half of the trench.

Five surficial gravity trenches (SGT) ranging in length from 450 to 600 ft. were installed on the side slopes at Elevations 2030 (SGT 1), 2050 (SGT 2 and 4) and 2070 (SGT 3 and 5). The trenches were excavated 11 ft. into the waste and capped with a clayey soil to provide containment of the recirculated leachate and allow gas collection without air intrusion. Due to their shallowness, the SGTs are operated differently than the HITs. The HITs are allowed to be pressurized up to 10 psi while recirculating leachate to provide greater lateral distribution while the SGT are operated as a gravity-feed system to avoid leachate seeps.

Construction details of the HIT and SGT are shown in **Figures 2-2** and **2-3**.

All future trenches will be installed during the operational phase of the cells to provide earlier implementation and more thorough wetting.



Figure 2-2 Horizontal Injection Trench



Figure 2-3 Surficial Gravity Trench Detail

2.1.2 Gas Collection

Twenty five (25) vertical gas collection wells were installed in Cells 1-5 as shown in **Figure 2-4**. At the time of the new well field installation the gas collection component of the HITs and SGTs was de-activated. Landfill gas is also collected from the cleanouts of the leachate collection system of each cell.

Based on the LandGEM model, the peak flow rate for the site is estimated to be 1,500 scfm in 2030 as shown in **Figure 2-5**. Gas flow to the landfill gas-to-energy (LFGTE) facility will increase over time and will experience an incremental increase once all the HITs in Cell 6 go online. A second generator may be added to the LFGTE facility when the flow rate exceeds 900 scfm.

2.2 Build-As-You-Go Bioreactor

Phase 2 is a build-as-you-go bioreactor system – meaning that the infrastructure is installed in stages as the waste is being placed. The build-as-you-go approach will allow for more extensive wetting of the waste and earlier capture of landfill gas. The first stage of the Phase 2 system was installed in Cell 6 in 2012 and began operation in June 2014.

2.2.1 Leachate Recirculation and Gas Collection

The first phase in Cell 6 includes five HITs for leachate recirculation and gas collection as shown in **Figures 2-6 and 2-7**. A 100-ft. solid section of pipe is used for the front end of the HITs to maintain the injection process an adequate distance from outer slope to minimize seeps. The solid pipe sections are sloped 3% to drain towards the outer slope of the landfill. P-traps were installed at the head of each HIT and drain down the slope to the leachate sump riser pipe to allow excess recirculated leachate to be removed from the HIT after injection events. This is intended to prolong gas collection capability of the system.

The liquids addition process typically takes between 2 to 6 hours per event and is continuously supervised by the Bioreactor Manager. A rotation schedule is used to allow time between injection events for leachate to drain from the trenches. The rotation schedule is adjusted as needed to account for the varying rates of drainage of the HIT and SGT. Leachate recirculation is reduced or suspended during periods of rainfall until the area dries out sufficiently. The landfill side slopes are carefully inspected during and after each injection event for leachate seeps. Further discussion of the leachate recirculation and gas collection strategy is provided in Section 6.

2.2.2 Temperature Probes

Thermocouples were installed in six (6) locations around the Cell 6 Phase 1 HITs in July 2012 as shown in **Figure 2-8**. The thermocouples consist of a stainless steel temperature sensor with a lead cable as shown in **Figure 2-9**. These thermocouples were placed in 4-inch perforated PVC pipe packed with concrete sand. The cable end of the pipe was left open to allow cable movement during settlement. The sensors transmit temperature data to a datalogger installed adjacent to the Cell 6 pump station control panel that will be downloaded periodically. Temperature readings will be used to monitor decomposition as mesophilic bacteria typically range between 80 and 115°F. The sensors will be helpful in assessing the impacts of leachate temperature during injection. As ambient air temperatures drop in the winter, the leachate in the pond will get colder.



Figure 2-4 Vertical Gas Well Collection System in the Retrofit Area



Figure 2-5 Landfill Gas Projections Using LandGEM Model



Figure 2-6 Build-As-You-Go Bioreactor System

Figure 2-7 Horizontal Injection Trench Installation in Cell 6



Figure 2-8 Temperature Sensors in Cell 6

Figure 2-9 Installation of Thermocouples in Cell 6

2.3 Landfill-Gas-To-Energy

Buncombe County built a LFGTE facility at its bioreactor landfill to take advantage of the accelerated gas generation. A request for proposals (RFP) was advertised to evaluate private sector interest. At the same time, CDM Smith developed project cost and revenue estimates under a scenario where the County would self-finance the project.

Comparison of nine energy developer proposals to the self-financing option showed that the net revenue would be substantially more if the County self-financed the project. The County elected to proceed without a developer. CDM Smith designed and permitted the LFGTE facility which includes a 1.4-MW generator set, gas conditioning system, and a well field consisting of 25 vertical wells. CDM Smith completed design and permitting of the facility under a fast track approach to reach “shovel-ready” status for ARRA funding. After successfully demonstrating the project’s merits, the County was awarded a $3 million grant. The County completed construction of the LFGTE facility in November 2011. The inaugural ribbon cutting ceremony in May 2012 is shown in **Figure 2-10.**

Figure 2-10 Inaugural Ribbon Cutting Ceremony

Section 3

Monitoring Program

3.1 Program Overview

The monitoring program was developed with assistance from the project academic advisors, Dr. Debra Reinhart and Dr. Morton Barlaz. **Table 3-1** shows the monitoring parameters and frequency of data collection for the project.

As part of facility operation, Buncombe County performs semi-annual testing of the leak detection zones (LDZ), groundwater monitoring wells, leachate pond, and stormwater collection points for the 2L groundwater standards established by North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources. This data is also being used in assessment of the alternative liner system performance.

Table 3-1 Monitoring Parameters and Frequencies

Parameter	Frequency
Leak Detection Quantity	Quarterly
Leak Detection Quality	Quarterly
Leachate Quality	Quarterly
Leachate Quantity	Weekly
Leachate Recirculation Quantity	Ongoing
Gas Composition	Ongoing
Gas Volume and Flow Rates	Ongoing
Settlement Plates	Quarterly
Settlement Survey	Bi-annually
Waste Density	Quarterly
Waste Temperature	Ongoing
Cell 6 Sump Level	Ongoing
HITs Gas Composition	Bi-weekly

3.2 Leak Detection

The landfill cells and leachate pond are equipped with a leak detection zone (LDZ) located beneath the leachate collection system sumps. The LDZ, as shown in **Figure 5-1**, is approximately 1 acre in size and consists of a 60 mil HDPE geomembrane and a 24-inch rock drainage layer located 3-ft below the bottom of the liner system. The geomembrane is sloped to direct liquid to a collection pipe located directly below the leachate sumps. For Cells 3-6, liquid captured in the LDZ is pumped out through vertical stand pipes located along the perimeter berm. Cells 1 and 2 drain liquid through gravity pipes that protrude from the outer slope of the landfill perimeter access road. The drain pipes are equipped with gate valves that the operator opens to check for liquid. Quantity data is not recorded for Cell 1 as it appears to be impacted by a steady supply of groundwater from an underground spring. Further investigation of flow from the Cell 1 LDZ was discussed at the stakeholders meeting held on September 20th, 2012 and is presented in Section 6.

If liquid is present in the LDZ, samples are tested onsite using a Horiba U-22 water quality meter for:

- ORP (oxidation reduction potential)

In addition, liquid samples are collected in sample bottles and sent to Pace Analytical for analysis of:

- BOD5 (Biological Oxygen Demand)
- pH
- COD (Chemical Oxygen Demand)
- Ammonia
- Specific Conductance

The sampling process is dated and recorded in a monitoring log by the Bioreactor Manager.

3.3 Leachate

The quantity of leachate collected is also tracked separately for each cell on a weekly basis. Each cell has a dedicated leachate pump system equipped with a flowmeter that allows the Bioreactor Manager to monitor the number of operating hours for the pumps, the quantity of leachate pumped, and the leachate level in the sumps at the time of monitoring. This data is recorded onto a field form by the Bioreactor Manager.

Leachate quality sampling occurs every quarter. Samples are collected from the leachate pond and from Cells 1-6. The samples are taken from sampling ports located in the valve vaults of the leachate pump stations. Leachate samples are collected in sample bottles and sent to Pace Analytical for analysis of:

- BOD5
- pH
- COD
- Ammonia
- Specific Conductance

On-site analysis of the leachate is also performed using a Horiba U-22 water quality meter. The Horiba unit tests for:

- ORP

- TDS

The sampling process is dated and recorded in a monitoring log by the Bioreactor Manager.

3.4 Leachate Recirculation

The quantity of leachate recirculated is recorded for each injection event using the magnetic flow meter installed at the leachate pond pump station. The Bioreactor Manager records the quantity of leachate injected and identifies the specific HIT/SGT used for the injection event.

3.5 Landfill Gas

The gas collection component of the Retrofit System has been replaced with a gas to energy system and has been in operation since November 2011. Gas composition and flow data is being continually monitored and recorded.

3.6 Landfill Settlement

Settlement plates were installed in 10 locations within the retrofit area. The plates are surveyed quarterly to monitor the rate of waste settlement. In addition to the settlement plates, bi-annual survey of the landfill cells 1 through 5 is being carried out using a 50-foot grid.

3.7 Landfill Temperature

To date, no leachate below 50°F has been allowed to be recirculated for fear of impacting decomposition. Some colder leachate will be injected into Cell 6 HIT to see if it causes any significant drop in temperature. If the results are favorable then the project team will consider allowing leachate colder than 50°F to be used on a regularly basis. Temperature has been monitored in Cell 6 since July 2012 to a get background data prior to leachate recirculation.

3.8 Effective Waste Density

Since settlement plates are difficult to maintain in active cells, effective waste density is being added to the monitoring program for Cell 6 to assess the impact of wetting on landfill capacity. A topographic survey of Cell 6 is used to compute the volume of waste and cover soil in Cell 6 on a quarterly basis. Waste tonnage records are used to calculate the effective density of the waste, which is defined as: the weight of disposed waste/the combined volume of waste and cover soil. Effective density is not the actual density since cover soils are not weighed prior to placement.

3.9 Cell 6 Landfill Gas Collection

As HITs 6A, 6D, and 6E are being utilized for landfill gas collection while recirculation is occurring in HITs 6B and 6C, the landfill gas composition is being monitored and recorded. This data is being monitored to determine if landfill gas collection should continue or if the HITs should be used for recirculation.

3.10 Cell 6 Sump Data

The Cell 6 sump pump has a datalogger installed which records the sump level every minute. This data is continuously being collected. The data is being analyzed and compared with recirculation events and daily rainfall data to evaluate impacts of recirculation on the sump level.

Section 4
Collected Data

The monitoring data collected from 2007 through June 2015 is presented below in summary graphs and tables. A complete compilation of all data collected to date can be found on the website: http://buncombebioreactor.com/.

4.1 Leak Detection

Table 4-1 shows the annual quantity of liquid collected from the leak detection zone (LDZ). Liquids have been observed in the Cell 1LDZ but the project team is unable to measure the quantity due to the remote location of the discharge. A method to measure quantity from Cell 1 was discussed during the second stakeholders meeting and is presented in Section 6.

Table 4-1 Liquid Collected from LDZ

Sample Year	Cell 1 (gallons)	Cell 2 (gallons)	Cell 3 (gallons)	Cell 4 (gallons)	Cell 5 (gallons)	Cell 6 (gallons)	Yearly Total (gallons)	Leachate Pond (gallons)
	Subtitle D Liner		Alternative Liner					Subtitle D Liner
2007	NA	NA	427	0	0	340	767	0
2008	NA	NA	3,105	25	2,925	10,475	16,530	0
2009	NA	NA	1,375	0	3,300	5,500	10,175	0
2010	NA	NA	1,040	0	6,465	3,835	11,340	0
2011	NA	93	555	0	3,800	2,015	6,463	0
2012	NA	115	530	0	1,850	1,220	3,716	3
2013	NA	80	500	0	850	1,150	2,580	9
2014	NA	80	450	0	1,150	1,775	3,455	65
Jan-June 2015	NA	81	200	0	325	1,150	1,756	0
Cumulative	NA	449	8,182	26	20,665	27,460	56,782	77

NA – Unable to measure quantity.

Figure 4-1 shows the monthly quantities of liquid collected from the LDZ. **Figures 4-2** through **4-7** show qualitative data from testing of the liquid. The parameters are pH, conductance, ORP, BOD5, COD and ammonia.



Figure 4-1 Quarterly Leak Detection Volumes


Buncombe County Solid Waste Management Facility
Subtitle D landfill
Leak Detection System
pH
9
8.5
8
7.5
7
6.5
6
5.5
5
4.5
4
Sep-11
Dec-11
Mar-12
Jun-12
Sep-12
Dec-12
Mar-13
Jun-13
Sep-13
Dec-13
Mar-14
Jun-14
Sep-14
Dec-14
Mar-15
Jun-15
Date
Cell 1
Cell 2
Cell 3
Cell 5
Cell 6

Figure 4-2 pH



Figure 4-3 Specific Conductance

*June 2015 reading for Cell 6 was determined to be an outlier and is not shown. See Section 5.1.2 for details.



Figure 4-4 Oxidation Reduction Potential



Figure 4-5 BOD5



Figure 4-6 COD



Figure 4-7 Ammonia

4.2 Leachate Collection System

Table 4-2 shows the quantity of leachate collected from the leachate collection system (LCS) of each cell. **Figure 4-8** shows the quantity of leachate generated in comparison to the rainfall. Leachate samples from Cells 1-6 and the leachate pond were analyzed for BOD5, conductance, COD, ammonia, pH, temperature, ORP, and TDS as shown in **Figures 4-9** through **4-15**.

Table 4-2 Leachate Collected from Cells 1-6

	Cell 1	Cell 2	Cell 3	Cell 4	Cell 5	Cell 6	Total	Rainfall
	(gallons)	(gallons)	(gallons)	(gallons)	(gallons)	(gallons)	(gallons)	(inches)
	Subtitle D Liner		Alternative Liner					
Nov.-Dec. 2007	9,723	487	20,898	11,382	11,675	981,305	1,035,470	
2008	288,526	8,860	94,705	173,647	164,467	8,904,461	9,634,666	33
2009	101,777	35,102	103,371	333,067	356,580	14,610,720	15,540,617	43
2010	173,878	34,813	283,867	419,454	124,089	7,097,590	8,133,691	33
2011	156,900	36,027	44,096	124,478	402,831	6,589,437	7,353,769	37
2012	191,608	71,821	92,225	355,101	332,049	5,441,508	6,484,312	40
2013	351,389	225,251	403,484	652,494	905,800	4,563,843	7,102,261	51
2014	184,767	329,346	111,053	82,890	481,695	1,458,189	2,647,940	31
Jan-June 2015	25,922[1]	177,277	78,488[2]	52,677	180,260	766,008	1,280,632	17
TOTAL	1,507,182	933,061	1,241,771	2,212,534	3,041,620	50,640,557	59,576,725	288

1. **Leachate generation value low due to broken air compressor resulting in less condensate discharge.**
2. **2014 data for Cell 4 may not be representative of actual leachate generation due to malfunction of the Cell 4 pump.**



Figure 4-8 Leachate Generation vs. Rainfall



Figure 4-9 BOD5 of Leachate

***Note: No readings were taken in Cell 1 during the January-June 2015 period due to a clogged sampling port.**



Figure 4-10 Specific Conductance of Leachate

***Note: No readings were taken in Cell 1 during the January-June 2015 period due to a clogged sampling port.**



Figure 4-11 COD of Leachate

***Note: No readings were taken in Cell 1 during the January-June 2015 period due to a clogged sampling port.**



Figure 4-12 Ammonia of Leachate

***Note: No readings were taken in Cell 1 during the January-June 2015 period due to a clogged sampling port.**



Figure 4-13 pH of Leachate

***Note: No readings were taken in Cell 1 during the January-June 2015 period due to a clogged sampling port.**



Figure 4-14 ORP of Leachate

***Note: No readings were taken in Cell 1 during the January-June 2015 period due to a clogged sampling port.**



Figure 4-15 TDS of Leachate

***Note: No readings were taken in Cell 1 during the January-June 2015 period due to a clogged sampling port.**

4.3 Leachate Recirculation

Figure 4-16 shows the cumulative quantity of leachate recirculated from 2006 through June 2015. Approximately 4.0 million gallons of leachate has been recirculated. The annual leachate recirculated is presented in **Table 4-3**.



Figure 4-16 Cumulative Volume of Leachate Recirculated

Table 4-3 Leachate Recirculation Volumes

Date	HITs D, E, and F (gal)	SGTs 1A, B, and C (gal)	SGTs 2A, B, and C (gal)	SGTs 3A, B, and C (gal)	HITs A, B, and C (gal)	SGTs 4A and 4B (gal)	SGTs 5A and 5B (gal)	HITs 6B and 6C (gal)	Annual Total (Gallons)
2006	32,093	48,140	48,140	48,140	32,093	10,698	10,698		230,000
2007	27,907	41,860	41,860	41,860	27,907	9,302	9,302		200,000
2008	116,108	51,914	42,883	35,985	14,720	0	0		261,610
2009	48,210	3,670	1,720	3,590	105,330	8,510	0		171,030
2010	296,600	20,000	24,100	21,300	307,733	21,667	10,000		701,400
2011	298,490	14,129	27,654	21,867	161,068	32,922	29,690		585,820
2012	425,620	24,867	33,968	25,765	213,010	19,955	18,235		761,420
2013	87,820	5,730	12,485	12,195	20,420	2,180	2,050		142,880
2014	420,470	0	11,600	5,290	116,630	6,200	3,680	85,520	649,390
Jan-June 2015	112,800	0	0	0	47,360	0	0	149,170	309,330
Total	1,866,118	210,310	244,410	215,992	1,046,271	111,434	83,655	85,520	4,012,880

4.4 Landfill Gas

The total gas flow and methane percentage of the gas collected from the landfill is monitored continuously at the LFGTE facility as presented in **Figure 4-17**.



Figure 4-17 Total Gas Flow and Percent Methane at the LFGTE Facility

4.5 Settlement

The location of the ten (10) settlement plates installed within the retrofit area is shown in **Figure 4-18**.



Figure 4-18 Settlement Plates in Cells 1-5
(Plate locations are shown circled with cloud outline.)

Figure 4-19 compares the measured settlement in the settlement plates from July 2006 through April 2015 to the quantity of leachate recirculated in Cells 1-6.



Figure 4-19 Cumulative Settlement

The latest survey of Cells 1 through 5 was done in May 2015. These cells were previously surveyed in November 2010. **Figure 4-20** compares the settlement in Cells 1 through 5 since November 2010.

4.6 Effective Waste Density

The County tracks the effective waste density of the active cell as part of the landfilling operation to assess impacts of liquids addition on compaction. Pre-wetting density values for Cell 6 include:

- 2015: 0.82 tons/cy
- 2014: 0.76 tons/cy
- 2013: 0.77 tons/cy
- 2012: 0.86 tons/cy
- 2011: 0.63 tons/cy

The County replaced their Terex TC550 compactor with a Caterpillar 836K. The weight of the Terex TC550 was 110,000 pounds while the Caterpillar is 123,319 pounds. This change in compactors has contributed to the increased density in 2015.



SETTLEMENT TABLE

SETTLEMENT (FT)	AREA (AC)	COLOR
4.0 – 5.0	0.7	Blue
3.0 – 4.0	2.0	Red
1.0 – 3.0	8.5	Yellow
0.0 – 1.0	19.1	Green
WASTE SETTLEMENT VOLUME = 51,000 CU YDS		

NOTES:

1. TOP OF WASTE SURVEY CONDUCTED ON NOVEMBER 2010 AND MAY 2015 SURVEY BY ED HLMES AND ASSOCIATES.
2. THE COMPARISON OF THE TWO SURVEYS SHOWN INDICATES WASTE SETTLEMENT. SEE SETTLEMENT TABLE.

CDM Smith

Figure 4-20
BUNCOMBE COUNTY LANDFILL
WASTE SETTLEMENT
OCTOBER 2015

4.7 Temperature of Waste in Cell 6

Thermocouples were installed in six (6) locations around Cell 6 HIT in assessing the impacts of leachate temperature during injection as shown in **Figure 4-21**.



Figure 4-21 Temperature Sensors in Cell 6

The temperature of waste in Cell 6 is shown in **Figure 4-22**. Leachate recirculation in this cell began in June 2014.

The temperature of waste in Cell 6 compared with the depth of waste is shown in **Figure 4-23**.



Figure 4-22 Waste Temperature Readings in Cell 6



Figure 4-23 Temperature of Waste at Various Depths in Cell 6
(Note: Waste Depths from May 6, 2015 Topographic Survey)

4.8 Cell 6 Landfill Gas Collection

Landfill gas is being collected from HITs 6A, 6D, and 6E and monitoring data has been collected since mid-2014. **Figure 4-24** shows the percent methane in each of these HITs and **Figure 4-25** shows the flow rate in standard cubic feet per minute (SCFM).



Figure 4-24 Average Percent Methane in HITs 6A, 6D, and 6E



Figure 4-25 Flow Rate in HITs 6A, 6D, and 6E

(*Flows were calculated using the differential pressure, vacuum, and orifice plate size for the HITs.)

4.9 Cell 6 Sump Data

Data has been collected from the Cell 6 pump datalogger to record the sump level. The Cell 6 sump pump is set to turn on at a level of 30 inches and off at a level of 12 inches. **Figure 4-26** shows a graph of the sump levels from April 2015 through June 2015 along with the recirculation events and daily rainfall.



Figure 4-26 Cell 6 Sump Level, Recirculation Events, and Rainfall

Section 5

Project Assessment

5.1 Leachate Detection and Collection Systems Analysis

5.1.1 Determination of Liquid Source in the LDZ

Liquid present in the LDZ could be leachate that has leaked through the base liner system, groundwater or a combination of both. As shown in **Figure 5-1**, the LDZ are open on the sides and therefore are subject to potential groundwater infiltration. This is particularly evident in Cell 1 where it appears that the LDZ is being impacted by an underground spring based on the amount of flow witnessed during sampling events and the high quality of the water. Comparison of test data between the LDZ, leachate and groundwater was made in an effort to determine the source of the liquid.



Figure 5-1 Leak Detection Zone in Cells 1-6 and Leachate Pond

The conductance levels of leachate are much higher than the samples tested for the Cell 1 LDZ. The conductance of leachate is in the range of 800-15,000 µmho/cm compared to 200-800 µmho/cm for the LDZ. The LDZ conductance is similar to the levels tested from groundwater well samples in the area as shown in **Figure 5-2**.

Toluene, which is often present in leachate, was found to be much lower in the LDZ samples, which correlate closely with groundwater testing results as shown in **Figure 5-3**.



Figure 5-2 Conductance of Leachate, LDZ, and GW Samples
(Values are averages of testing results for the six cells and all GW monitoring wells)



Figure 5-3 Toluene of Leachate, LDZ and GW/SW Samples
(Values are averages of testing results for the six cells and all GW/SW monitoring wells)

Figure 5-4 shows the ORP values for the leachate and LDZ samples for all cells. Comparison of ORP values also shows a strong distinction between leachate and the liquid sampled from the LDZ. The ORP values for leachate are all negative while all but two of the LDZ samples produced positive values. A negative value is indicative of anaerobic conditions as would be expected for landfill leachate. Thus, the positive readings for the LDZ samples support the conclusion that the leachate is not a significant component of the LDZ liquid.



Figure 5-4 ORP of LCS and LDZ
(Values are averages of testing results for the six Cells)

Based on these comparisons it was concluded that the liquid in the LDZ is all or mostly groundwater. As no appreciable amount of leachate appears to be in any of the LDZ it can be concluded that both types of liner systems are performing as designed and are not experiencing adverse impacts from the liquids addition program.

5.1.2 Leachate Quality

The COD reading in Cell 2 in December 2014 was 222 mg/L. Except for in June 2012, COD has been not detected in the Cell 2 LDZ. The COD was ND in March 2015 and was 25 mg/L in June 2015 which is similar to what is seen in Cell 5 and Cell 6. The cause of the high reading in December 2014 is unknown.

The conductance reading for the Cell 6 LDZ in June 2015 was 6,250 mg/L. This value was almost ten times higher than in March 2015 and the subsequent reading in September 2015. An outlier test was performed using the Interquartile Ranges and Outliers Method, and it was determined that this value is an outlier as show in **Appendix A**. Therefore, the June 2015 reading is not shown in Figure 4-3.

5.1.3 Leachate Pond

It was observed in 2014, that the quantity of leachate in the LDZ for the pond was significantly higher than in past years. This could be the result of the pond being kept half-full for a major part of the year.

It was also observed that the pH of the leachate in the pond was higher than the leachate in the cells as shown in Figure 4-13. However, the pH trend for the pond follows the leachate pH trend for the cells. It is thought that the concrete liner could possibly contribute to the higher pH in the pond.

5.2 Gas Collection System

The average gas flow for January through June 2015 was 415 scfm, and the average methane concentration of the gas was 51%.

An active gas collection trench was installed in the North Slope of Cells 1 and 3 in September 2014. This trench is a perforated pipe embedded in rock trench surrounded by an air tight tarp. The purpose of the trench is to mitigate landfill gas migration, and it has been working effectively.

5.3 Reduction of Leachate Hauling to the Wastewater Treatment Facility

As of June 1, 2015, a total of 4.0 million gallons of leachate has been recirculated, resulting in 803 avoided truck trips to the wastewater treatment plant and a savings of $306,758.03 in hauling costs. The savings attributed to January through June 2015 is $23,509.08. With the expansion of the wetting system into Cell 6 in June 2014, the largest cell of the landfill, the amount of leachate that can be recirculated is expected to significantly increase.

5.4 Settlement of Waste in Cells 1 through 5

Settlement plates in Cells 1 through 5 indicate that the landfill has settled an average of 1.31 ft. since January 2006. Approximately 4.0 million gallons of leachate has been recirculated in the landfill from 2006 through June 2015.

Utilizing the May 2015 survey which is based on a 50ft foot grid system, it was determined that Cells 1 through 5 have settled 0-1 ft. in 19.1 acres, 1-3 ft. in 8.5 acres, 3-4 ft. in 2.0 acres, and 4-5 ft in 0.7 acres since November 2010 as shown in Figure 4-20. Most of the settlement has occurred in the areas where leachate has been periodically recirculated.

The settlement in Cells 1-5 is equal to approximately 51,000 cy which is equivalent to five months of capacity valued at nearly $2 million.

5.5 Relocation of Condensate Discharge Line in Cell 4

The condensate discharge line from the gas wells around Cell 4 was constructed incorrectly and connected to the Leak Detection Zone Riser. All condensate discharge lines connect directly to the leachate sump riser; the condensate line for Cell 4 was disconnected from the leak detection zone and reinstalled correctly into the leachate sump riser at Cell 4.

5.6 Operations in Cell 6 Build-As-You-Go Bioreactor

There is approximately 15 to 20 feet of waste on top the HITs of Cell 6. Leachate recirculation started in HITs 6B and 6C in June 2014. Through June 2015, 234,690 gallons of leachate have been injected in these two lines. Temperature sensors have been installed in these areas to access the impact of leachate temperature during injection.

It was recommended that landfill gas be temporarily collected from HITs 6A, 6D, and 6E and the landfill gas quality and flow be evaluated from these HITs to determine whether collection should continue or if the HITs should be used for recirculation instead. Monitoring data has been collected since mid-2014 for HITs 6D and 6E and since early 2015 for HIT 6A as reported in Section 4.8. The landfill gas collection from the HITs has been successful. Methane contents are between 58% and 59% and the wells have steady flow rates. Therefore, collection of landfill gas should continue in HITs 6A, 6D, and 6E.

Data has been collected from the Cell 6 pump datalogger to record the sump level. This data was compared to the recirculation events and daily rainfall to determine if reciruculation impacts the sump level. The data gathered from April to June 2015 shown in Figure 4-26 does not indicate the sump levels are affected by the recirculation events. The sump levels will continue to be monitored and reported in future reports as more data is gathered.

5.7 Waste Stabilization

Twenty-five vertical wells were installed in Cells 1-5 in November 2010 for the landfill gas-to-energy project. Photographs were taken of the exhumed waste to observe the degree of stabilization as shown in **Figure 5-5** and **5-6**. Waste temperatures were taken immediately after waste was extracted from the boreholes with an infrared thermometer. Most locations showed waste temperatures in the mid-90s with the exception of the following five wells, which showed elevated temperatures:

- VW-6: 100 deg F
- VW-24: 110 -130 deg F
- VW-10: 104 -108 deg F
- VW-18: 110 deg F
- VW-11: 105 -108 deg F

The waste from these five boreholes was observed to be noticeably wetter than the waste for the other boreholes. Steam emanating from the waste of VW-24 was indicative of the elevated temperatures. The waste from this borehole appeared to be well decomposed.

Figure 5-5 Exhumed Waste from Drilling of Vertical Well 24

Figure 5-6 Exhumed Waste from Drilling of Vertical Well 13

The BOD5/COD ratio of the landfill leachate has dropped steadily since 2007 indicating that stabilization of the organic waste fraction is occurring as shown in **Figure 5-7**.



Figure 5-7 BOD5/COD Ratio of LCS in Cells 1-6

5.8 Greenhouse Gas Reductions

The HIT installed in the active disposal cell will provide early capture of LFG that would normally be released to the atmosphere until final grades are obtained and wells are installed. Collected gas from the active area will be measured to determine the amount of greenhouse gas reduction directly attributable to the project. Combustion of LFG also produces carbon credits for the County as the site is registered with the Climate Action Reserve. The County registered the carbon credits and equivalent passenger vehicles gas emissions shown in **Table 5-1** below:

Table 5-1: Carbon Credits Registered

Year	Carbon Credits	Equivalent Passenger Vehicle Emissions Offset
2012	28,784	5,997 vehicles
2013	29,490	6,208 vehicles
2014	To be reported in 2015 year-end report	
Total	**58,274**	**12,205 vehicles**

5.9 Alternative Cover Material

The use of alternative daily cover improves distribution of wetting from the HIT; uses less airspace than soil, and allows the onsite borrow soils to be saved for new cell and capping construction. It may also contribute to improved compaction of waste. It is recommended that alternative cover material be used to the largest extent possible in the ongoing landfill operation,

The County received approval from the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources to use posi-shell as an alternative daily cover at the Buncombe County landfill. This material has been used as an alternative daily cover since 2008 and as the main daily cover material for the past three years.

Section 6

Stakeholders Meeting

A project stakeholders meeting was held on September 20, 2012 at Buncombe County solid waste management facility to provide an update and discuss project issues. The meeting was attended by the following persons:

NCDENR:	Ed Mussler, Allen Gaither, Andrea Keller
USEPA:	Craig Dufficy, on conference call
WNCRAQA:	David Brigman, Ashley Featherstone
Buncombe County:	Jerry Mears, Jon Creighton, Kristy Smith, Aaron McKinzie, Donna Cottrell
CDM Smith:	Chris Gabel, Ravi Kadambala
University of Florida:	Dr. Timothy Townsend

The following are the various topics discussed during the meeting along with follow-up activities that were performed.

6.1 Monitoring of the Alternative Liner System

Ed Mussler inquired if the project has sufficient data to reach a conclusion regarding the performance of the alternate liner system and, if so, should we consider revising the project goals of Project XL and writing a final report addressing the performance of the alternative liner system.

Chris Gabel stated that further data is required to reach a conclusion and that Cell 6 will provide a means of tracking an accurate water balance. This will allow us to determine if the liner system is being subjected to higher leachate flows as a result of the newly installed build-as-you-go leachate recirculation system. The retrofit system applies leachate at elevations that are 100+ feet above the leachate sump. The Phase 1 trenches installed in Cell 6 are 20 to 40-feet from the drainage layer.

Ed Mussler inquired if the leachate level at the sump is being monitored as it could be used to determine if leachate recirculation is over-capacitating the collection system. Kristy Smith responded that leachate levels are monitored daily but are not recorded. This prompted the idea of using the existing temperature sensor data logger to record leachate level data in Cell 6.

Follow-up Activities: The level sensors were installed in the sump of Cell 6, and connected to the datalogger to record the head on the liner over time. Preliminary results showed negligible change in the level after one day of adding 13,170 gallons of leachate. The level sensor will be closely monitored with time as more leachate is recirculated.

6.2 Discharge Liquids from Cell 1 LDZ

The Cell 1 LDZ has a large quantity of liquid in it that has been tested numerous times. The test results indicate that it is groundwater. The meeting attendees discussed options for draining the LDZ to determine if groundwater is still recharging this area. The proposed plan is to test the liquid to ensure it is not leachate and then drain into the adjacent stormwater channel. Onsite staff would monitor the process to see how long it takes to drain the accumulated volume. NCDENR representatives suggested submitting a letter describing how the process will be performed and the safeguards to be used to ensure protection of the environment.

Follow-up Activities: A large tank will be used to collect liquids from Cell 1 LDZ, and disposed at the leachate pond. The most recent groundwater results should be submitted to NCDENR for approval to release the liquids collected.

6.3 Landfill Settlement

Settlement plates are used to track settlement resulting from wetting. We could consider using topographic surveys to supplement the plate information. The surveys could be performed on a 25-ft grid over the final slope areas for comparison from year to year to see where settlement is occurring. The cost is estimated at $3,500 per survey. Graphic representation could include color coded areas based on the amount of the settlement.

Settlement measurements can be taken internally by installing a level sensor into the HIT. The device, known as a settlement profiler consists of a pressure transducer which is connected to a liquid reservoir. The transducer is inserted into the HIT pipe using a push rod allowing measurements to be taken at various points of the trench. The transducer gives a measure of the elevation profile of the pipe relative to the reservoir located on stable ground. The liquid tube is stored on a reel.

Follow-up Activities: Cells 1 through 5 are surveyed on a 50-ft grid annually. The results of this survey are presented in Section 4.5. These Cells will be surveyed again in April 2016 and continue on an annual basis.

6.4 Liquid Addition in Cell 6

Kristy Smith suggested dedicating HIT 6E to gas collection to reduce the likelihood of side seeps of leachate. Dr. Townsend suggested using only HITs 6B and 6D for leachate recirculation to determine the lateral extent of wetting using the temperature probes (refer to **Figure 6-1**). HITs 6A, 6C and 6E will be used for collecting gas. Temperature data and gas flow rates will be measured prior to leachate recirculation to get a background reading. The change in gas flow and temperature data will indicate the impact of leachate recirculation on gas generation.

Potential design changes for the next phase of lines in Cell 6:

1. Consider adding a vertical gas well intersecting the HITs.
2. Consider installing temperature probes inside the HITs.
3. Consider utilizing waste heat from the LFGTE system to heat leachate for year-long leachate recirculation.

Follow-up Activities: Refer to section 5.6 for details on liquid addition in Cell 6.



Figure 6-1 An Option Discussed at the Stakeholders' Meeting for Cell 6 HIT Operation

6.5 Gas Collection System

Aaron McKinzie stated that operation of the small flare was problematic as it keeps going out. Further investigation of the flare revealed that the orifice plate needs to be re-welded.

CDM Smith to perform wellfield adjustments with Buncombe County periodically to optimize gas extraction. Well 6A in the Cell 6 drainage layer is functioning now that leachate sump levels were re-set to provide a lower elevation for Pump ON.

Follow-up Activities: Small flare has been fixed and is currently operational. Refer to section 5.2 for details on the gas collection system. Wellfield adjustments will be made as needed.

Section 7

Recommendations

7.1 Modifications to the Monitoring Program

7.1.1 Measuring Settlement

Cells 1 through 5 were surveyed on a 50-ft grid in May 2015. The results of this survey are presented in Section 4.5. The next survey will be performed in May 2016.

7.1.2 Water Balance Monitoring

Water balance monitoring should be added to more accurately track the effects of moisture addition in Cell 6. Leachate, precipitation, and leachate recirculation data are being collected and will be used in a water balance calculation.

7.1.3 Leachate Recirculation Impacts to Head on Liner

Based on discussions with the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources (NC DENR), it was recommended to monitor and record levels of leachate in the Cell 6 sump during recirculation events. This monitoring will help determine any impacts to the leachate collection system from the recirculation. The pump level sensors in the sump of Cell 6 are connected to a datalogger, which continuously records the sump level every minute. Fluctuations are monitored to indicate changes in the head on the liner. Preliminary results are discussed in Section 6.1. Detailed sump monitoring data from April through June 2015 was evaluated and discussed in Section 5.6. This data will continued to be collected and will be further analyzed in the next progress report.

7.2 Recommended Modifications to Design and Operation

7.2.1 Leak Detection Zones

For Cells 7-10, it is recommended that the design of the LDZ be revised to eliminate the 3-foot separation between the LDZ and the bottom of the base liner system, as this will greatly reduce the potential for groundwater infiltration. This is shown in **Figure 7-1**.



Figure 7-1: Revised LDZ Design

7.2.2 Strategy for Operation of Cell 6 HITs

Based on the discussions held at the stakeholders meeting, a combination of dedicated recirculation and gas collection HIT is desirable for determining the effectiveness of the wetting operation and maximizing early gas capture.

The strategy to date has been to recirculate in HITs 6B and 6C and temporarily collect landfill gas from HITs 6A, 6D, and 6E. The landfill gas data from 2014-2015 shows the gas collected in HITS 6A, 6D, and 6E has a high methane content. In addition, gas flows rates have been steady. Gas collection should continue in these HITS and data should be collected bi-weekly. The data will be evaluated to determine if the HITs should continue to be used for gas collection.

7.2.3 Cells 1-5 HITs Maintenance

In order for continued optimal operation, it is recommended that HITs A, B and C be cleaned using high-pressure water jetting to remove clogging.

APPENDIX A

Outlier Test

Appendix A
Outlier Testing for Cell 6 Conductance

Sample Date	Conductance	Cell Number
9/30/2014	543.00	B79
3/24/2015	575.00	B80
3/28/2013	579.00	B81
6/7/2012	581.00	B82
6/18/2014	594.00	B83
9/8/2011	596.00	B84
3/13/2012	602.00	B85
12/5/2011	606.00	B86
12/30/2013	607.00	B87
9/26/2012	609.00	B88
12/3/2012	611.00	B89
12/31/2014	621.00	B90
9/19/2013	623.00	B91
6/27/2013	636.00	B92
3/27/2014	642.00	B93
6/30/2015	6250.00	B94

Outlier determination using Interquartile Ranges and Outliers (IQR) Method.

	Equations	Value		Values
Median (Q2)	MEDIAN(B80:B95)	607		
Lower Quartile(Q1)	AVERAGE(B83:B84)	587.50		
Upper Quartile(Q3)	`=B91	623		
Interquartile Range	IQR=Q3-Q1	35.50		
Inner Fence	Q1-1.5*IRQ to Q3+1.5*IQR	534.25	to	676.25
Outter Fence	Q1-3*IRQ to Q3+3*IQR	481	to	729.5

The data from 6/30/2015 is outside the inner fence and outter fence, therefore it is an outlier

cdmsmith.com